

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/446,913	08/30/2000	Shigeki Ono	0018-1093-0	3100
22850	7590 11/18/2003		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			FALK, ANNE MARIE	
_	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/446,913	ONO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anne-Marie Falk, Ph.D.	1632		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 9/25/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 September 2003</u>. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet... 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_ Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_. 10. Other: \_\_\_\_ Anne-Marie Falk

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Anne-Marie Falk, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632

Application/Control Number: 09/446,913 Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

Continuation of 2. Note:

The proposed claim amendments, if entered, would require multiple new rejections. For

example, Claim 24 is now a duplicate of Claim 5. As a further example, Claim 17 is indefinite in its

recitation of "individual units".

Claim 6 introduces new limitations into the claims. Claim 6 adds the limitation "wherein said

composition is administered intracisternally." Claim 7 introduces new limitations into the claims. Claim

7 adds the limitation "wherein said composition is administered in a dose ranging from 10 to 10,000

nmoles." The new limitations raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

**Continuation of 5.** does NOT place application in condition for allowance because:

See above. Applicants arguments have been fully considered, but do not overcome the standing

grounds of rejection. The arguments presented are directed to the claims as amended, but the proposed

claim amendments have not been entered.

The claims continue to cover using a single-stranded oligonucleotide. However, the rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph states that the specification does not provide an enabling disclosure

for using a single-stranded oligonucleotide comprising the base sequence of SEQ ID No. 1. The art

demonstrates and the instant specification acknowledges that NF-κB binds to double-stranded DNA, not

single-stranded DNA. No arguments have been offered with regard to this issue.

Claims 5-24 remain rejected for reasons of record.